



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/851,689	05/08/2001	Steven Soloff	PD-201017A	3251
20991	7590 02/23/2006		EXAMINER	
THE DIRECTV GROUP INC			BELIVEAU, SCOTT E	
PATENT DO	CKET ADMINISTRAT	ION RE/R11/A109		
P O BOX 956			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
EL SEGUNDO, CA 90245-0956			2614	
			DATE MAILED: 02/23/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/851,689	SOLOFF, STEVEN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Scott Beliveau	2614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days of If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' y statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	23 January 2006.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
3) Since this application is in condition for a					
closed in accordance with the practice un	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	thdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	iments have been received. iments have been received in Ape priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	· -)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Applicant's claim for the benefit of a prior-filed application under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) or under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c) is acknowledged. Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) as follows:

The later-filed application must be an application for a patent for an invention which is also disclosed in the prior application (the parent or original nonprovisional application or provisional application). The disclosure of the invention in the parent application and in the later-filed application must be sufficient to comply with the requirements of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112. See *Transco Products, Inc. v. Performance Contracting, Inc.*, 38 F.3d 551, 32 USPQ2d 1077 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

The disclosure of the prior-filed application, Application No. 60/268,481, fails to provide adequate support or enablement in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 for one or more claims of this application. With respect to claims 1, 12, 23, and 25, the earlier filled application is silent with respect to tracking the particular duration or amount of time an individual watches a particular event. Applicant's argue that the particular reference to DirectTV and a DirectTV NavLog Library, infer that the particular invention is used within a satellite broadcast system and that a prototype command GLA_NavLog_LogPage hit further infers that the duration of a page hit may be recorded. With respect to the particular disclosure relating to the GLA_NavLog_LogPage, the disclosure merely sets forth that a new hit for a page has occurred. The claims require for recording the identify of each

of said user transition. The disclosed indication that a new hit has occurred by a function is not construed as providing adequate support for the claimed limitation as it is unclear as to how the particular duration of viewing at the time of the transition is also being recorded.

With respect to claims 2, 13, and 26, the earlier application is silent with respect to the particular further determining/identifying the geographical location of the user viewing a scene.

With respect to claims 3, 14, and 27, the earlier application is silent with respect to the particular further usage of both temporary and permanent memory storage and the particular transmission between both types of storage.

With respect to claims 4, 15, and 28, the earlier application is silent with respect to the particular further usage of FLASH memory as claimed.

In consideration of claims 7, 18, and 31, the earlier application is silent with respect to the particular further usage of wireless data transfer means in association with the upstream communication of recorded information.

With respect to claims 8, 19, and 32, the earlier filling is silent with respect to further determining if a scene's navigational log record has already been recorded, determining if the capacity of said permanent memory device has been reached, and reallocating, if necessary, an array of stored scene information to create space for an additional navigational log.

With respect to claims 9, 20, and 33, as aforementioned, the earlier filling is further silent with respect to the particular usage of temporary memory storage and is further silent with

respect to the transmitting the contents of said temporary memory storage device occurs at a predetermined time.

With respect to claims 10, 21, and 34, the earlier filling is silent with respect to further opening an index and database file in a permanent memory storage device, determining a next available write location in said database file, and writing each entry in said navigational log record into said database file. Accordingly, claims 1-35 are being examined based upon the filling date of the application or 08 May 2001.

Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of its length. The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 12, 23, and 25 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

With respect to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 over Aras et al., applicants argue that the reference fails to particularly teach an equivalent "means for determining when a user transitions from a first informative scene to a subsequent informative scene" in so far as the scenes relate to advertising that the user may or may not review and may further include

Application/Control Number: 09/851,689

Page 5

Art Unit: 2614

news reports and/or general advertisements. Turning to the instant application, the "means for determining" is construed as a computer program comprising series of instructions which recognizes when a scene transition has occurred (IA: Page 8, Lines 16-23 and Page 10, Lines 4-8). A scene transition is associated with a user channel change (IA: Page 9, Lines 11-19). Aras et al. discloses the particular usage of a computer program [1555] in a satellite receiver that is operable to determine when a user transitions between informative scenes in association with a channel change operation as illustrated in Figures 6C and 6D. These "scenes" may be considered "informative" by virtue of being assoicated with advertising or news (ex. CNN) (Col 6, Lines 45-53). Accordingly, the an equivalent "means for determining" is considered taught by Aras et al.

With respect to applicant's arguments regarding the rejection of claims 7, 11, 18, and 31, applicant's arguments appear limited to the claims being allowable based upon their respective independent claims and the previous indication of claim 11 being further rejected in the heading under the combination of Aras et al. and Laubach et al. As set forth in the preceding rejection, the independent claims are not considered allowable for the reasoning set forth. Claim 11 appears to have been mistakenly additionally included in the heading of the Non-Final rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103 and was (see Non-Final Rejection – Page 7) and continues to be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 under Aras et al.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 27 is objected to because the phrase "FLASHLOG" appears to be referring to the subroutine "FLUSHLOG". Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/851,689 Page 6

Art Unit: 2614

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-6, 8-17, 19-30, and 32-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Aras et al. (US Pat No. 5,872,558).

Claim 1 is rejected wherein Figure 1B of Aras et al. illustrates a "system for identifying and processing satellite based television usage and navigational data". In particular the system comprises "means for generating informative scenes . . . transmitted in satellite broadcasts" [101] such as those associated with television commercials or other traditional television programming including news (Col 6, Lines 45-54). These "informative scenes" are subsequently received by a home station [111]. The home station comprises a "means for displaying said scenes on a viewing device located at a user location" [1563] (Col 24, Lines 29-43) and a subscriber monitoring program [1555] comprising a "means for identifying each discrete scene, means for determining when a user transitions from a first informative scene to a subsequent informative scene" (Figures 6C and 6D), a "means for recording the identify of each scene being viewed by the user and the time of day and duration of said viewing at the time of said user transition, thereby creating a navigational log record" (Figures 10-13; Col 7, Lines 59-67; Col 15, Line 1 – Col 16, Line 33; Col 20, Lines 15-40), and a "means for

storing said navigation log record in a memory storage device" [1706] (Col 16, Lines 34-51; Col 16, Line 60 – Col 17, Line 22).

Claim 2 is rejected wherein the system further comprises "means for determining the geographical location of the user viewing said scene" (Col 12, Line 55 – Col 13, Line 23; Col 17, Lines 30-38).

Claim 3 is rejected wherein the "means for storing said navigational log record includes means for temporarily storing said log record in a temporary memory storage device" [1719] and "means for transmitting the contents of said temporary memory storage device to a permanent memory storage device" [1711] (Figure 17; Col 16, Lines 46-51; Col 26, Lines 1-20).

Claim 4 is rejected wherein "said permanent memory storage device is comprised of FLASH memory" (Col 26, Lines 16-20).

Claim 5 is rejected wherein the system further comprises "means for periodically transmitting said navigational log record stored in said permanent memory storage device to a remote processing location" (Col 17, Lines 57-62; Col 26, Line 44 – Col 27, Line 8).

Claim 6 is rejected wherein "said transmitting means is a modem" (Col 17, Lines 42-43).

Claim 8 is rejected wherein the "means for temporarily storing said navigational log record includes means for determining if a scene's navigational log record has already been recorded" (Col 16, Lines 17-21), "means for determining if the capacity of said permanent memory device has been reached, and means for reallocating, if necessary, an array of stored informative scene identities to create space for an additional navigational log" (Col 14, Lines 25-53; Col 17, Lines 43-56).

Application/Control Number: 09/851,689

Art Unit: 2614

Claim 9 is rejected wherein "said means for transmitting the contents of said temporary memory storage device occurs at a predetermined time" (Col 16, Lines 46-51).

Claim 10 is rejected wherein the "means for transmitting the contents of said temporary memory storage device includes means for opening an index and database file in said permanent memory storage device, means for determining a next available write location in said database file, and means for writing each entry in said navigational log record into said database file" in accordance with the buffering, processing, and storage of database records in the structured data array associated with non-volatile storage (Col 14, Lines 38-43).

Claim 11 is rejected wherein the system further comprises "means for recording the latest recorded navigational log record into a database file even when the storage capacity of said permanent memory storage device has been attained". In particular, the reference teaches that once the permanent memory storage device [1711] becomes full, it transmits its contents upstream and deletes the remaining records. Subsequently, the system continues processing/storing navigation records and is capable of "recording the latest recorded navigational log record" into the newly emptied/created database subsequent to the storage capacity of the permanent storage having been attained.

Claim 12 is rejected wherein Figure 1B of Aras et al. illustrates a system which implements a "method for identifying and processing satellite based television usage and navigational data". In particular the system comprises "means for generating informative scenes . . . transmitted in satellite broadcasts" [101] such as those associated with television commercials or other traditional television programming including news (Col 6, Lines 45-54). These "informative scenes" are subsequently received by a home station [111] and

"displayed . . . on a viewing device located at a user location" [1563] (Col 24, Lines 29-43). A subscriber monitoring program [1555] subsequently "determines when a user transitions from a first informative scene to a subsequent informative scene" (Figures 6C and 6D), "identifies each discrete scene being viewed by the user and the time of day and duration of said viewing at the time of said user transition, thereby creating a navigational log record" (Figures 10-13; Col 15, Line 1 – Col 16, Line 33; Col 20, Lines 15-40), and "stores said navigation log record in a memory storage device" [1706] (Col 16, Lines 34-51; Col 16, Line 60 – Col 17, Line 22).

Claim 13 is rejected wherein the system further "determines the geographical location of the user viewing said scene" (Col 12, Line 55 – Col 13, Line 23; Col 17, Lines 30-38).

Claim 14 is rejected wherein the "step of storing said navigational log record includes temporarily storing said log record in a temporary memory storage device" [1719] and "transmitting the contents of said temporary memory storage device to a permanent memory storage device" [1711] (Figure 17; Col 16, Lines 46-51; Col 26, Lines 1-20).

Claim 15 is rejected wherein "said permanent memory storage device is comprised of FLASH memory" (Col 26, Lines 16-20).

Claim 16 is rejected wherein the system further comprise the "step of periodically transmitting said navigational log record stored in said permanent memory storage device to a remote processing location" (Col 17, Lines 57-62; Col 26, Line 44 – Col 27, Line 8).

Claim 17 is rejected wherein "said step of transmitting . . . is via a modem" (Col 17, Lines 42-43).

Application/Control Number: 09/851,689 Page 10

Art Unit: 2614

Claim 19 is rejected wherein the "step of temporarily storing said navigational log record includes determining if a scene's navigational log record has already been recorded" (Col 16, Lines 17-21), "determining if the capacity of said permanent memory device has been reached, and reallocating, if necessary, an array of stored informative scene identities to create space for an additional navigational log" (Col 14, Lines 25-53; Col 17, Lines 43-56).

Claim 20 is rejected wherein the "step for transmitting the contents of said temporary memory storage device occurs at a predetermined time" (Col 16, Lines 46-51).

Claim 21 is rejected wherein the "step of transmitting the contents of said temporary memory storage device includes opening an index and database file in said permanent memory storage device, determining a next available write location in said database file, and writing each entry in said navigational log record into said database file" in accordance with the buffering, processing, and storage of database records in the structured data array associated with non-volatile storage (Col 14, Lines 38-43).

Claim 22 is rejected wherein the system further comprises the "step of recording the latest recorded navigational log record into said database file even when the storage capacity of said permanent memory storage device has been attained". In particular, the reference teaches that once the permanent memory storage device [1711] becomes full, it transmits its contents upstream and deletes the remaining records. Subsequently, the system continues processing/storing navigation records and is capable of "recording the latest recorded navigational log record" into the newly emptied/created database subsequent to the storage capacity of the permanent storage having been attained.

Claim 23 is rejected in light of the rejection of claim 1 wherein Figure 1B of Aras et al. illustrates a "satellite-based communications network for identifying and processing satellite based television usage and navigational data". Turning to Figure 1B, the system comprises a "broadcast center for broadcasting information" [123], "one or more communication satellites for receiving said broadcasting information" [115], "user receiving means situated within said satellite's coverage area to receive said broadcast information" [111] and a "viewing device connected to said user receiving means" [1563]. The home station [111] comprises "video image selection means for providing a user with a means of transitioning from one informative scene to a subsequent informative scene, wherein said video image comprises said broadcast information" [1551] and "means for compiling user navigational data" [1555] (Col 6, Lines 45-54; Col 24, Line 29 – Col 26, Line 32) wherein "said navigational data includes the identification of the scene being viewed, the time the user is viewing said scene, the length of time of said viewing, and the location of the user viewing said scene" (Figures 10-14; Col 17, Line 57 – Col 18, Line 9; Col 20, Lines 15-40).

Claim 24 is rejected wherein the system further comprises "means for periodically transmitting said navigational log record stored in said permanent memory storage device to a remote processing location" (Col 17, Lines 57-62; Col 26, Line 44 – Col 27, Line 8).

Claim 25 is rejected wherein Aras et al. discloses a "computer program stored in a computer readable medium embodying instructions to perform a method of tracking satellite-based television usage characteristics" (Col 26, Line 33-41). In particular, the method comprises "determining when a user transitions from a first informative scene being displayed on a user's viewing device to a subsequent informative scene displayed upon said

viewing device, wherein said scenes comprise information transmitted in satellite television broadcasts" (Col 6, Lines 45-54; Col 24, Lines 29-43; Figures 6C and 6D), "identifying said scene being viewed by the user and the time of day and duration of said viewing at the time of said user transition, thereby creating a navigational log record" (Figures 10-13; Col 15, Line 1 – Col 16, Line 33; Col 20, Lines 15-40), and "storing said navigation log record, where said computer program labels the log record as a PAGEHIT, in a memory storage device" [1706] indicative of the user having viewed/watched a particular scene (Col 16, Lines 34-51; Col 16, Line 60 – Col 17, Line 22).

Claim 26 is rejected wherein the system further comprises the "step of determining the geographical location of the user viewing said scene" (Col 12, Line 55 – Col 13, Line 23; Col 17, Lines 30-38).

Claim 27 is rejected wherein the "step of storing said navigational log record includes temporarily storing said log record in a temporary memory storage device" [1719] and "utilizing a subroutine, FLUSHLOG, to transmit the contents of said temporary memory storage device to a permanent memory storage device" [1711] (Figure 17; Col 16, Lines 46-51; Col 26, Lines 1-20).

Claim 28 is rejected wherein "said permanent memory storage device is comprised of FLASH memory" (Col 26, Lines 16-20).

Claim 29 is rejected wherein the system further comprises the "step of periodically transmitting said navigational log record stored in said permanent memory storage device to a remote processing location" (Col 17, Lines 57-62; Col 26, Line 44 – Col 27, Line 8).

Application/Control Number: 09/851,689

Art Unit: 2614

Claim 30 is rejected wherein the "step of transmitting . . . is via a modem" (Col 17, Lines 42-43).

Claim 32 is rejected wherein the "step of temporarily storing said navigational log record includes determining if a scene's navigational log record has already been recorded" (Col 16, Lines 17-21), "determining if the capacity of said permanent memory device has been reached, and reallocating, if necessary, an array of stored informative scene identities to create space for an additional navigational log" (Col 14, Lines 25-53; Col 17, Lines 43-56).

Claim 33 is rejected wherein the "step of transmitting the contents of said temporary memory storage device occurs at a predetermined time" (Col 16, Lines 46-51).

Claim 34 is rejected wherein the "step of transmitting the contents of said temporary memory storage device includes opening an index and database file in said permanent memory storage device, determining a next available write location in said database file, and means for writing each entry in said navigational log record into said database file" in accordance with the buffering, processing, and storage of database records in the structured data array associated with non-volatile storage (Col 14, Lines 38-43).

Claim 35 is rejected wherein the system further comprises the "step for recording the latest recorded navigational log record into said database file even when the storage capacity of said permanent memory storage device has been attained". In particular, the reference teaches that once the permanent memory storage device [1711] becomes full, it transmits its contents upstream and deletes the remaining records. Subsequently, the system continues processing/storing navigation records and is capable of "recording the latest recorded"

navigational log record" into the newly emptied/created database subsequent to the storage capacity of the permanent storage having been attained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 7, 18, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aras et al. (US Pat No. 5,872,558) in view of Laubach et al. (US Pat No. 5,870,134).

In consideration of claims 7, 18, and 31, as aforementioned, the Aras et al. reference discloses the particular usage of communication controller [1557] described as a cable modem or other device for communicating viewer behavior information upstream. The reference, however, does not particularly disclose that the cable modem or other device for upstream communication is necessarily a "wireless data transfer means". The Laubach et al. reference provides evidence as to a "wireless data transfer means" or wireless cable modem that is utilized to transfer data upstream wirelessly (Col 2, Line 44 – Col 3, Line 6; Col 7, Lines 7-10). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made so as to employ "wireless data transfer means" as taught by Laubach et al. with the Aras et al. distribution architecture for the purpose of providing an efficient, transparent, and cost-effective approach for implementing two-way cable systems

(Laubach et al.: Col 2, Lines 29-41) that further advantageously supports the upstream communication of monitoring information (Aras et al.: Col 2, Lines 52-56).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure as follows. Applicant is reminded that in amending in response to a rejection of claims, the patentable novelty must be clearly shown in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited and the objections made.

- The Grauch et al. (US Pub No. 2005/0235318 A1) reference discloses an interactive media delivery system which tracks user interactivity.
- The "New Georgia Encyclopedia" article provides evidence that CNN is a 24-hour news channel which provides informative scenes.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Beliveau whose telephone number is 571-272-7343. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 a.m. - 6:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W. Miller can be reached on 571-272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott Beliveau Examiner Art Unit 2614

SEB

February 20, 2006

sol.